



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/544,120	04/06/2000	Brett Bracewell Bonner	2100.0051	7646

7590 04/23/2003

Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner LLP
1300 I Street NW
Washington, DC 20005-3315

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

LEE, SEUNG H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2876	

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/544,120	BONNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Seung H Lee	2876	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 February 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-7 and 29 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ . |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the response filed on 05 February 2003, which has been entered in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-7, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Good et al. (US 6,517,004)(hereinafter referred to as 'Good') in view of Moed et al. (US 5,770,841, of the record)(hereinafter referred to as 'Moed')

Good teaches an automated identification and measuring system comprising a package identification subsystem for identifying the package by reading barcode affixed on the package using a barcode reader, a package dimensioning subsystem for measuring dimensional characteristics of package, subsystem output the data element on a display device graphically, a time-stamping module for time stamping each and every identification data produced from the package identification subsystem and for time stamping each and every measurement data produced from the package dimensioning subsystem, a data element combining module for producing package data element pairs containing package dimensioning data and package identifying data

according to the time-stamped information respectively, X-Y package profiling subsystem including vertical light curtain for measuring the height of the packages serving as a vertical height scanner, a subsystem employs a simultaneous package detection/tracking method for tracking the package on the conveyor in which serves as a position system for providing position information wherein the subsystem computing the models of the package (e.g., one box is disposed on top of another, one box is disposed beside another) using dimension information and positional information associated with the time value (see Figs. 1, 4-9, 15-21, 24, 30; col. 23, line 8- col. 25, line 50; col. 28, lines 1-8; claim 1).

Although, Good teaches photoreceiving circuits (407A and 407B in Fig. 15B), he fails to particularly teach an image capture system.

However, Moed teaches the image capture system (12) for capturing the image of package (20c) using a high-resolution camera (16) (see Fig. 1; col. 5, lines 1-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the image capture system as taught by Moed to the automated package identification and measuring system of Good in order to provide an improved and an enhanced means wherein operator(s) can verify the package in the scanning terminal using the image of the package displayed on the display device. Moreover, such modification would provide an alternative means for reading/decoding the characters (e.g., name, address, etc.) from package using the optical character recognition (OCR) techniques, and therefore an obvious expedient.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, and 29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to the applicant argument that "*There is no indication from Moed that height sensor or any other element of imaging system produces time-dependent dimension information*" (see page 5, line 19+), the Examiner respectfully provides Good reference wherein the Good teaches the automated identification and measuring system for producing the dimension information of package with the time-stamp as discussed in paragraph 3 above.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Bjorner et al. [US 5,923,017] discloses a moving light indicia reader,
Haber et al. [US 5,373,561] discloses a method of authenticating the document using the time-stamp.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Seung H. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-5894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax-phone number for this group is (703) 308-5841 or (703) 308-7722.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [michael.lee@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published

Application/Control Number: 09/544,120
Art Unit: 2876

Page 6

in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.


Seung H. Lee
Art Unit 2876
April 18, 2003



KARL D. FRECH
PRIMARY EXAMINER